

1 true. I don't know the information that does. But it sure does a lot of other
2 harmful effects and so we have to keep our minds open to all potential health
3 effects. In the dioxin area we studied all sorts of problems with the immune
4 system, the skin, the blood cells, etc. so we knew there was a problem with
5 studying people over a long time to see if they develop cancer. They move in.
6 They move out. It's hard to get a handle on the population but as a result we
7 looked at shorter term things and it may be that we need studies of people who
8 are exposed to radio frequency emissions to look at other health outcomes
9 besides cancer. We also said in our report that there was no need for residents
10 to move, to have catscans or MRI scans of their brain etc., but I heard you ask
11 the question earlier would I live there. I just want to answer I don't know the risk
12 myself. Would I live on Lookout Mountain? I don't know what the risk is. I can't
13 quantify the risk exactly but it's our view in our agency that there is...there are
14 some studies that have been published that indicate that there may be an
15 association and it's on that basis that we have evolved to a position consistent
16 with what Dr. Johnson put in his transmittal letter to you dated February 17th, in
17 which he talked about trying to achieve as low as reasonably achievable
18 exposure to radio frequency. And that would be our position as well, that you
19 need to examine all the possible alternative sites and include in that what
20 Lookout Mountain and I'm pleased to hear that that is being discussed this
21 evening and try to make a decision based on a review of all the available
22 options. And with that I will stop.

23 HOLLOWAY: Do you have any questions?